Lie transformation method on quantum state evolution of a general time-dependent driven and damped parametric oscillator

Lin Zhang
School of physics and information technology, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710119, China

Weiping Zhang
Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China

A variety of dynamics in nature and society can be approximately treated as a driven and damped parametric oscillator. An intensive investigation of this time-dependent model from an algebraic point of view provides a consistent method to resolve the classical dynamics and the quantum evolution in order to understand the time-dependent phenomena that occur not only in the macroscopic classical scale for the synchronized behaviors but also in the microscopic quantum scale for a coherent state evolution. By using a Floquet U-transformation on a general time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonian, we exactly solve the dynamic behaviors of a driven and damped parametric oscillator to obtain the optimal solutions by means of invariant parameters of Ks to combine with Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant method. This approach can discriminate the external dynamics from the internal evolution of a wave packet by producing independent parametric equations that dramatically facilitate the parametric control on the quantum state evolution in a dissipative system. In order to show the advantages of this method, several time-dependent models proposed in the quantum control field are analyzed in details.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Fd, 03.65.Ge, 05.45.Xt

arXiv:1601.00727v3 [quant-ph] 29 Jun 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

The classical dynamics of a driven and damped harmonic oscillator (DDHO) is a fundamental problem discussed in many textbooks and all its behaviors are well known [1, 2]. However, this simple model can be used to understand the dynamics of more general systems embedded in different dissipative environments and driven by arbitrary external forces. A more complicated system possesses a richer frequency structure of internal dynamics but only one frequency window plays the dominant role in a certain parametric region. The external driving force often maintains an energy input to stimulate or to control the dynamics of the system. A more complex driving force often induces similar dynamics as that does by a simple periodic driving due to the limited bandwidth of a responsive window. Up to now, some behaviors of the damped harmonic oscillator driven by a simple periodic force still exhibit exciting dynamics, especially due to the rapidly developing fields of the optomechanical systems [3] and the quantum shortcut control problem [4]. In this paper, we want to reconsider this model in a unified framework from both classical and quantum mechanical points of view to exactly solve the dynamical equation for the sake of dynamic controls based on a general time-dependent model. In many control problems, DDHO is the simplest but fundamental model to reveal the main properties of a controlled system. Although the dynamics of a classically forced and damped harmonic oscillator will finally follow the external driving force and its behavior is completely deterministic, its transient behavior to a final state or its dynamical response to an external force is still an interesting topic to be explored in the quantum regime from a control perspective. For any classical or quantum control problems, the controlled systems are definitely nonconservative and the corresponding theories for an arbitrary time-dependent Hamiltonian, which should exhibit rich and novel behaviors beyond the perturbation theory and the adiabatic theory, are still under development [5]. In this paper, we will extensively investigate a general time-dependent model, a driven and damped parametric oscillator (DDPO), in a unified view of Lie transformation method to obtain not only the classical and quantum dynamics, but also the parametric connections to the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant method. In order to provide a complete description of this model, we first give a brief review on the classical dynamics of DDHO to lay down a basic knowledge for the classical motion of DDPO, and then completely solve the problem in the quantum regime by using a time-dependent transformation method based on Lie algebra.

II. CLASSICAL DYNAMICS OF DDHO

In order to identify dynamic differences between classical and quantum behaviors, we first briefly review the main properties of the classical dynamics of a DDHO. The equation of motion to govern a classical DDHO with constant



FIG. 1: (a) Two typical motions, (b) the corresponding phase-space trajectories and (c) power spectra of the damped harmonic oscillator driven by a red-detuned force ($\Omega = 0.8\omega_0$, the dashed line) and a blue-detuned force ($\Omega = 1.4\omega_0$, the solid line). The other parameters are $\gamma = 0.1, F_0 = 2$ scaled by ω_0 and the initial conditions are $x(0) = 8, p(0) = 0$.

parameters is ($m = 1$)

$$\ddot{x}(t) + 2\gamma\dot{x}(t) + \omega_0^2 x(t) = F(t), \tag{1}$$

where ω_0 is the internal frequency, γ is the damping rate related to the Q factor by $Q = \omega_0/2\gamma$, and $F(t)$ is the external driving force. Eq.(1) is a fundamental equation to understand a general damped and driven oscillators emerging in many physical systems. Besides the traditional weak vibration in a mechanical system, one important model in the plasma, called Lorentz oscillator, is used to describe the motion of a charged particle (trapped ion) driven by the electromagnetic fields. The other typical model is about the electronic current in the RLC circuits or the electromagnetic field in a finesse cavity pumping by an input field. Surely, many other phenomena in chemical, biological or economic fields can also be explained or understood by DDHO model [1, 2].

The dynamics of a damped oscillator under a driving force exhibits a very important phenomenon: resonance, and many behaviors in this world can be explained or controlled by the resonant effect. As most of the driving or control signals can be decomposed into harmonic components, most studies of DDHO focus on a harmonic driving force and Eq.(1) simplifies to

$$\ddot{x} + 2\gamma\dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = F_0 \cos(\Omega t + \phi), \tag{2}$$

where F_0, Ω and ϕ are the driving strength, frequency and initial phase, respectively. Eq.(2) can be analytically solved and Fig.1(a) demonstrates two typical motions of the oscillator driven by two different forces with the same initial conditions. After a transient motion, the oscillator finally locks itself to the driving force as shown by two closed orbits in the phase space (Fig.1(b)) and the corresponding frequency spectra are given in Fig.1(c). That the final motion of a driven oscillator follows the pace of driving force is actually a universal "synchronized" dynamics for a damped system induced by a robust external driving. Although the force-induced oscillation will disappear and the oscillator will return back immediately to a damping oscillation when the driving force is removed, this kind of synchronized motion is still a universal phenomenon induced by an active driving source. Strictly, the force-induced oscillation is not a self-sustained oscillation and thus the final closed trajectory in the phase space is not a limit circle but a passive orbit of driving force. However this synchronized behavior induced by a robust external force occurs in many low-energy dynamic processes, especially in a classically driven nanomechianical system.

The analytical solution of Eq.(2) is

$$x(t) = x_H(t) + x_I(t), \tag{3}$$

where $x_H(t)$, the general solution to the homogeneous equation of Eq.(2), is

$$x_H(t) = A_1 e^{-\gamma t} \cos(\omega t + \phi_1)$$

and $x_I(t)$ is a particular solution. The damping shifted frequency ω is defined by $\omega = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \gamma^2}$. Because $x_H(t)$ will damp out, the final dynamics is due to $x_I(t)$.



FIG. 2: The amplitude responses of DDHO driven by the external forces with (a) $Q = 3$ and (b) $Q = 10$; (c) The response of an anharmonic oscillator with two resonant windows at $\Omega = \omega_0$ ($Q = 100$) and $1.6\omega_0$ (Q=10). The dashed contour lines are samples for reference.

A normal form of $x_I(t)$ is

$$x_I(t) = A_2 \cos(\Omega t + \phi_2), \tag{4}$$

where the amplitude and the relative phase are

$$A_2 = \frac{F_0}{\sqrt{(2\gamma\Omega)^2 + (\omega_0^2 - \Omega^2)^2}}, \quad \tan(\phi_2 - \phi) = -\frac{2\gamma\Omega}{\omega_0^2 - \Omega^2}. \tag{5}$$

Eq.(3) reveals that the final frequency of DDHO is only determined by the external driving force because its internal oscillation will disappear due to the damping. While the final amplitude (energy) depends on all the parameters of the system, such as the intrinsic frequency, the damping rate, the driving strength and frequency. Surely, the final oscillation doesn't depend on the initial conditions.

Now let us take a closer look at the most important phenomenon in a forced system: resonance. Simply, the response of an oscillator to a driving force can be measured by its final amplitude. For $\Omega > 0$, the maximum amplitude occurs at a resonant driving frequency of $\Omega_R = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - 2\gamma^2}$. Fig.2 shows the amplitude responses with respect to the driving strength F_0 (power) and the frequency detuning $\delta = (\Omega - \omega_0)/\gamma$. The V-shaped profiles displayed in Fig.2(a) and Fig.2(b) reveal a major characteristic of resonance which can also be detected in a more complicated system. Fig.2(c) displays a responsive graph of an anharmonic oscillator with two independent resonant windows of different widths, indicating two well-separated (without coupling) internal modes of the system.

In order to clarify the important role of damping in the classical dynamics, we calculate a long-time behavior of DDHO with a very small damping rate ($\gamma = 10^{-5}$) in Fig.3(a). The upper inset of Fig.3(a) demonstrates a nearly closed phase trajectory which never seems to converge towards a closed orbit as shown in Fig.1(b). The double-peak spectrum of $x(t)$ (compared with Fig.1(c)) indicates a long-time survival of the internal oscillation with a shifted frequency of $\omega \approx \omega_0$. If the damping is weak, the oscillator can not quickly stabilize its motion to the driving trajectory due to its intrinsic oscillation. In this case, the intrinsic oscillation and the force-induced oscillation will mix together by

$$x(t) = A_1 \cos(\omega_0 t + \phi_1) + A_2 \cos(\Omega t + \phi_2),$$

which leads to a beating behavior of $x(t)$ within the resonant window of $\Omega \sim \omega_0$ (see the lower inset of Fig.3(a)). Therefore, the classical damping is a very important reason for a driven harmonic oscillator to synchronize with the driving force.

An equivalent form of $x_I(t)$ is adopted in some occasions as

$$x_I(t) = X_1 \cos(\Omega t) + X_2 \sin(\Omega t), \tag{6}$$



FIG. 3: (a) The power spectrum of the driven harmonic oscillator with an extremely small damping rate $\gamma = 10^{-5}$. Inset: the phase trajectory (upper) and the beating behavior (lower) of $x(t)$. The parameters are $F_0 = 1, \Omega = 1.2$ and $x(0) = 0, p(0) = 0.2$. The quadratures of (b) X_1 and (c) X_2 versus the driving frequency with different damping rates labeled by the numbers in the figures. The force strength is $F_0 = 1$.

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\omega_0^2-\Omega^2}{\left(\omega_0^2-\Omega^2\right)^2+(2\gamma\Omega)^2} & \frac{2\gamma\Omega}{\left(\omega_0^2-\Omega^2\right)^2+(2\gamma\Omega)^2} \\ \frac{2\gamma\Omega}{\left(\omega_0^2-\Omega^2\right)^2+(2\gamma\Omega)^2} & -\frac{\omega_0^2-\Omega^2}{\left(\omega_0^2-\Omega^2\right)^2+(2\gamma\Omega)^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_0\cos\phi \\ F_0\sin\phi \end{bmatrix}.$$

X_1 and X_2 are the amplitudes of in-phase and out-of-phase quadratures with respect to force components of $F_0\cos\phi$ and $F_0\sin\phi$, respectively. The responsive curves for X_1 and X_2 as a function of driving frequency with different damping rates are shown in Fig.3(b) and (c), respectively. When the driving frequency passes through the intrinsic frequency of an oscillator, the in-phase quadrature X_1 undergoes a dynamic transition from positive to negative oscillation, and the out-of-phase quadrature X_2 exhibits a positive resonant nature. This indicates that, within a resonant window, the final phase of the forced oscillation, $\phi_2 = \arctan(X_2/X_1)$, for a high-$Q$ oscillator is very sensitive to the frequency of the driving force. If the driving force is blue detuned ($\Omega > \omega_0$), the motion of the oscillator is lagged and tends to keep up with the driving force, and if the force is red detuned ($\Omega < \omega_0$), the motion of the harmonic oscillator will be pulled back by the driving force and tends to be out of the driving phase.

From the above analysis, we know that the classical behavior of DDHO exhibits a resonant dynamics following Eq.(2). We should notice that all the classical behaviors of DDHO are deterministic at a macroscopic scale and include no internal fluctuations by only introducing an effective damping rate. Including external noises into the system will lead no new features to the classical dynamics because any external noises can be safely treated as external driving forces. However, for a quantum system at the microscopic scale, the internal fluctuations will definitely bring new dynamic features to a system beyond its classical dynamics.

III. QUANTUM DYNAMICS OF DDPO

A. A brief note on dissipative quantum system

Similar to classical systems, the quantum systems are inevitably coupled to their surrounding environments which definitely lead to energy dissipations. On a classical time scale, the dissipation can safely be described by phenomenologically introducing an overall damping rate. However, on the quantum scale, the details of dissipation process are important and the dynamics of dissipation becomes difficult to deal with (see the review paper [6, 7]). One reason for the trouble of studying a dissipative system in quantum regime is that the system doesn't admit a standard Hamiltonian for quantization [8, 9]. The traditional theory on this problem is somehow to construct a non-standard time-dependent Hamiltonian and the quantization of the damped oscillator leads to a dual Bateman Hamiltonian by including an auxiliary oscillator (time-reversed counterpart) to valid a total standard Hamiltonian [7, 8]. As a brief

example, we can easily check that the following simple equation of motion,

$$\ddot{x} + 2\gamma\dot{x} = 0,$$

does not admit a standard Hamiltonian because no invariant energy (Hamiltonian) of a dissipative system exists due to energy losses. But a non-standard Hamiltonian can still be developed in the framework of the Euler-Lagrange equation [9], such as by using a *time-dependent* Lagrange function of [10]

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{2\gamma t}\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2.$$

Therefore, for a DDHO described by Eq.(1), no standard Hamiltonian is available. However, we can formally construct the time-dependent Hamiltonians from which Eq.(1) can be derived [11]. A well-known Caldirola-Kanai Hamiltonian to describe the DDHO is [8]

$$H(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}\frac{p^2}{2m} + e^{2\gamma t}\left[\frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 x^2 - xF(t)\right], \tag{7}$$

which safely recovers the classical Eq.(1) of

$$\ddot{x} + 2\gamma\dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = \frac{F(t)}{m}.$$

Therefore, this treatment is formally correct from a classical point of review but a complete investigation on a quantum dissipative system should resort to the open quantum theory by including a continuum reservoir which can be modeled by an ensemble of harmonic oscillators with infinite degree of freedom [12]. However, the open quantum theory is somehow cumbersome and heavily depends on the statistical properties of the reservoirs that we should know in advance. Alternatively, a simple but equivalent method to deal with a damped quantum system is to use a non-Hermitian effective Hamiltonian as [13]

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 - i\hat{\Gamma}, \tag{8}$$

where $\hat{H}$ is decomposed into a Hermitian part and an anti-Hermitian part with $\hat{H}_0^\dagger = \hat{H}_0$ and $\hat{\Gamma}^\dagger = \hat{\Gamma}$. Then the dynamics of a pure state $|\Psi\rangle$ is still governed by the conventional Schrödinger equation of

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi\rangle = \hat{H}|\Psi\rangle.$$

However, the Hermitian Hamiltonian leads to a non-unitary state evolution and the norm of the state is not conserved. One well-known non-Hermitian Hamiltonian for a damped oscillator is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{P}^2 + \hat{X}^2\right) - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right) = \left(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{\Gamma}{2}\left(\hat{a}^{\dagger 2} - \hat{a}^2\right), \tag{9}$$

and which can recover the classical equation of motion for a damped harmonic oscillator as

$$\dot{x} = p - \Gamma x, \quad \dot{p} = -x - \Gamma p,$$

where x and p are defined by $\alpha = (x + ip)/\sqrt{2}$ for a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$. Here, the classical Hamiltonian reads

$$H_c = \langle\alpha|\hat{H}|\alpha\rangle = \left(\alpha^*\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{\Gamma}{2}\left(\alpha^{*2} - \alpha^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(x^2 + p^2\right) - i\Gamma xp \equiv H_{c0} - i\Gamma_c,$$

and the classical equation of motion in the phase-space follows [13]

$$\begin{pmatrix}\dot{x}\\ \dot{p}\end{pmatrix} = \Omega^{-1}\nabla H_{c0} - G^{-1}\nabla\Gamma_c,$$

where ∇ is the phase space gradient operator, Ω is the symplectic unit matrix

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix},$$

and the phase space metric G is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spectrum of Hamiltonian Eq.(9) can be obtained by a similarity transformation of

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{R}\hat{H}\hat{R}^{-1} = \frac{\omega}{2}\left(\hat{P}^2 + \hat{X}^2\right), \quad \hat{R} = e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}\left(\hat{P}^2 - \hat{X}^2\right)},$$

where $\theta = -\Gamma$ and $\omega = \sqrt{1+\Gamma^2}$.

However, there are many non-Hermitian Hamiltonians which can be used to describe the damped oscillators under different damping mechanisms. For example, if $\hat{\Gamma} = \gamma\hat{X}^2/2$, another Hamiltonian for a damped oscillator is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{P}^2 + \hat{X}^2\right) - i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{X}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}g\hat{X}^2, \tag{10}$$

where $g = 1 - i\gamma$ is a complex number. Generally, the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian Eq.(10) is related to a class of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonian of [14]

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^2 - \frac{1}{2}(i\hat{X})^\epsilon\hat{X}^2,$$

where the parameter ϵ is real. The non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric if $\hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathcal{T}}\hat{H}\hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathcal{T}} = \hat{H}$, which means $[\hat{H}, \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathcal{T}}] = 0$, where $\hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{X}\hat{\mathcal{P}} = -\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{P}\hat{\mathcal{P}} = -\hat{P}$, $\hat{\mathcal{T}}\hat{X}\hat{\mathcal{T}} = \hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{T}}\hat{P}\hat{\mathcal{T}} = -\hat{P}, \hat{\mathcal{T}}i\hat{\mathcal{T}} = -i$, and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^2 = 1, \hat{\mathcal{T}}^2 = 1, \hat{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\mathcal{T}} = \hat{\mathcal{T}}\hat{\mathcal{P}}$. The study on the above non-Hermitian Hamiltonian shows that, when $\epsilon \geq 0$, all the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are real and positive (unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric parametric region), but when $\epsilon < 0$, there are complex eigenvalues (broken $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric parametric region) leading to the damping processes [15].

B. Lie transformation method on DDPO

1. *The general quadratic Hamiltonian and its Lie algebra*

Now we consider the complete dynamics of a damped and driven oscillator in a unified algebraic framework based on the time-dependent algebraic method. A general DDPO can be described by the following time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonian [16–18]

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left[A(t)\hat{p}^2 + B(t)\hat{x}^2 + C(t)\left(\hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x}\right)\right] + D(t)\hat{p} + E(t)\hat{x} + F(t), \tag{11}$$

where all the time-dependent functions $A(t)\cdots F(t)$ are continuous functions of time which can be used to describe the classical driving signals or the parametric controlling on a specific quantum system. We consider for simplicity the one-dimensional model and a d-dimensional generalization is theoretically straightforward [19]. Totally, this Hamiltonian owns a well known real symplectic group of $Sp(2d, \mathbb{R})$ [20] and we will consider it in a decomposed space with a Lie algebraic structure of $su(2)\bigoplus h(4)$ [21]. Therefore six independent generators can be separately defined by [22]

$$\hat{J}_+ = \frac{1}{2\hbar}\hat{x}^2, \quad \hat{J}_- = \frac{1}{2\hbar}\hat{p}^2, \quad \hat{J}_0 = \frac{i}{4\hbar}\left(\hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x}\right),$$

which satisfy the commutation relations of $su(2)$ algebra

$$\left[\hat{J}_+, \hat{J}_-\right] = 2\hat{J}_0, \quad \left[\hat{J}_0, \hat{J}_\pm\right] = \pm\hat{J}_\pm,$$

and

$$\hat{T}_1 = \frac{\hat{x}}{\hbar}, \quad \hat{T}_2 = \frac{\hat{p}}{\hbar}, \quad \hat{T}_0 = \frac{i}{\hbar},$$

which meet the commutation relations of Heisenberg-Weyl algebra

$$\left[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2\right] = \hat{T}_0, \left[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_0\right] = 0, \left[\hat{T}_2, \hat{T}_0\right] = 0.$$

Then the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\hat{H}=\hbar\left(A\hat{J}_{-}+B\hat{J}_{+}-i2C\hat{J}_{0}\right)+\hbar\left(D\hat{T}_{2}+E\hat{T}_{1}-iF\hat{T}_{0}\right).$$

The above time-dependent Hamiltonian has been extensively studied [16, 23] and we will follow the algebraic method firstly proposed by Wei and Norman [21, 24] and, recently, summarized in Ref.[25]. Actually, the method we use here is a reverse procedure proposed by Lohe in Ref.[19]. For simplify, we adopt the units of zero-point fluctuations of

$$x_{\mathrm{zpf}}=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m_{0}\omega_{0}}},\quad p_{\mathrm{zpf}}=\sqrt{\hbar m_{0}\omega_{0}}, \tag{12}$$

to scale the Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)=\frac{1}{2}a\left(t\right)\hat{P}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}b\left(t\right)\hat{X}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}c\left(t\right)\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)+d\left(t\right)\hat{P}+e\left(t\right)\hat{X}+f\left(t\right), \tag{13}$$

where $a\left(t\right)$, $b\left(t\right)$, $c\left(t\right)$, $d\left(t\right)$, $e\left(t\right)$ and $f\left(t\right)$ are scaled time-dependent functions and the basic commutation relation between the scaled position and momentum becomes $[\hat{X},\hat{P}]=i$. The mass m_0 and the frequency ω_0 used in Eq.(12) are the intrinsic or characteristic parameters which can be properly chosen according to the initial conditions for a controlled system. Then the six generators of $su(2)$ and $h(4)$ algebras are

$$\hat{J}_{+}=\frac{1}{2}\hat{X}^{2},\quad \hat{J}_{-}=\frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^{2},\quad \hat{J}_{0}=\frac{i}{4}\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right),\quad \hat{T}_{1}=\hat{X},\quad \hat{T}_{2}=\hat{P},\quad \hat{T}_{0}=i.$$

The merit of an algebraic method is that the generators defined above can be easily generalized to other form of realization which enables the study of the time-dependent Hamiltonian beyond a quadratic style [26].

If all the operators in Eq.(13) are treated with their corresponding mean-value c numbers such as $\hat{X}\rightarrow X$, the classical equation of motion to describe a DDPO reads

$$\ddot{X}-\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{X}+\left(ab+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}c-c^{2}-\dot{c}\right)X=cd-ae+\dot{d}-\frac{\dot{a}}{a}d. \tag{14}$$

Based on the above closed Lie algebra, the time-dependent quantum system can be parameterized by six time-dependent parametric functions of $a(t)\cdots f(t)$ and the state evolution can be decomposed into two groups of dynamical equations in 6-dimensional parametric space.

2. Time-dependent transformations on Lie algebra

Now we give the main idea of the Lie transformation method [21] to solve or control the general quadratic Hamiltonian of Eq.(13) by adopting proper transformation parameters. If an original Schrödinger equation is

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi\left(t\right)=\hat{\mathcal{H}}\left(t\right)\Psi\left(t\right), \tag{15}$$

and a time-dependent transformation on $\Psi\left(t\right)$ is introduced by

$$\Psi\left(t\right)=\hat{U}\left(t\right)\psi\left(t\right), \tag{16}$$

then the Schrödinger equation for the new state $\psi\left(t\right)$ will be

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi\left(t\right)}{\partial t}=\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U}(t)\psi\left(t\right), \tag{17}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U}(t)=\hat{U}^{-1}\left(t\right)\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)\hat{U}\left(t\right)-i\hbar\hat{U}^{-1}\left(t\right)\frac{\partial\hat{U}\left(t\right)}{\partial t}. \tag{18}$$

The transformation of Eq.(18) can be called Floquet U-transformation (FUT) following Ref.[25], and the transformed Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U}$, generally, becomes non-Hermitian for that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U}\neq\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U}^{\dagger}$. Properly, if we select a successive transformation of $\hat{U}\left(t\right)$ based on a closed Lie algebra, we can finally simplify the original Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ to a solvable $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U}(t)$

whose eigenstates $\psi(t)$ can be easily obtained, then the original wave function for system $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ will be determined by Eq.(16) if the initial state is given.

If a control is applied at $t=0$ to a quantum system, we can set all the transformation parameters of $\hat{U}(t)$ to be zero at $t=0$ by giving $\hat{U}(0)=1$. Therefore the initial state of a time-dependent controlled system can be determined by its initial Hamiltonian of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ with the time-independent eigenequation of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0)\varphi_n = E_n\varphi_n.$$

Therefore any initial state of the system can then be expanded by the above basis as

$$\psi_0(t) = \sum_n C_n\varphi_n e^{-iE_nt/\hbar},$$

where C_n are complex constants, and

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U(0) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(0), \quad \psi_0(0) = \sum_n C_n\varphi_n. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, for quadratic Hamiltonian of Eq.(13), we can define six independent operators on $su(2)\bigoplus h(4)$ algebra by

$$e^{-is}, e^{-i\beta\hat{X}}, e^{-i\alpha\hat{P}}, e^{-i\theta_-\hat{J}_-}, e^{-2\theta_0\hat{J}_0}, e^{-i\theta_+\hat{J}_+},$$

where $s,\alpha,\beta,\theta_+,\theta_0,\theta_-$ are six transformation parameters. Then the transformation of $\hat{U}(t)$ can be a combination of the above six operators in a specific order. For simplicity, we choose the following successive transformations separated by $h(4)$ algebra and $su(2)$ algebra as [21]

$$\hat{U}(t) = \hat{U}_1(t)\,\hat{U}_2(t), \tag{20}$$

where

$$\hat{U}_1(t) = e^{-is(t)}e^{-i\alpha(t)\hat{P}}e^{-i\beta(t)\hat{X}}$$

is defined on $h(4)$ algebra, and

$$\hat{U}_2(t) = e^{-i\theta_+\hat{J}_+}e^{-2\theta_0\hat{J}_0}e^{-i\theta_-\hat{J}_-} = e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2}e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}$$

is defined on $su(2)$ algebra. Surely, Eq.(20) is a specific combination of six operators and the relations to other combinations arranged in different orders can be found by using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for the relevant algebras [27]. Generally, the six parameters $\alpha(t)$, $\beta(t)$, $s(t)$ and $\theta_+(t)$, $\theta_0(t)$, $\theta_-(t)$ (θs) are piecewise continuous functions of time defined within a control time interval. The real parametric functions will keep the system under a unitary evolution while the complex functions will break it in order to effectively describe a damping process. Anyway, according to Eq.(16), the Lie transformations defined above not only induce transitionless evolution of the wave function (adiabatic process), but also produce non-adiabatic transitions controlled by the parametric functions. Substituting the successive transformations of Eq.(20) into Eq.(18), we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \hat{U}_2^{-1}\left[\hat{U}_1^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{U}_1 - i\hat{U}_1^{-1}\frac{\partial\hat{U}_1}{\partial t}\right]\hat{U}_2 - i\hat{U}_2^{-1}\frac{\partial\hat{U}_2}{\partial t},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 \equiv\ & \hat{U}_1^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{U}_1 - i\hat{U}_1^{-1}\frac{\partial\hat{U}_1}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}a\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}b\hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}c\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)\\ & + (c\alpha - a\beta + d - \dot{\alpha})\hat{P} + \left(b\alpha - c\beta + e - \dot{\beta}\right)\hat{X}\\ & + \frac{1}{2}a\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}b\alpha^2 - c\alpha\beta - d\beta + e\alpha + f + \dot{\alpha}\beta - \dot{s},\end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is in the unit of $\hbar\omega_0$. In order to simplify the Hamiltonian, we set the coefficients before the generators of $h(4)$ to be zero. Then the dynamical equation of motion for the transformation parameters are

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\alpha} &= c\alpha - a\beta + d,\\ \dot{\beta} &= b\alpha - c\beta + e,\\ \dot{s} &= \frac{1}{2}a\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}b\alpha^2 - c\alpha\beta - d\beta + e\alpha + f + \dot{\alpha}\beta,\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which can reduce the original Hamiltonian Eq.(13) to a standard quadratic form of $su(2)$ algebra as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 = \frac{1}{2}a\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}b\hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}c\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right) = a\hat{J}_- + b\hat{J}_+ - 2ic\hat{J}_0. \tag{22}$$

The first two parametric equations of Eq.(21) lead to

$$\ddot{\alpha} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\alpha} + \left(ab + \frac{\dot{a}c}{a} - c^2 - \dot{c}\right)\alpha = cd - ae + \dot{d} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}d, \tag{23}$$

which exactly recovers the classical mean-value dynamics of Eq.(14). The last equation of Eq.(21) leads to a classical dynamical action of

$$s(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta, t')\, dt',$$

where the classical Lagrangian is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta, t) = \frac{1}{2}b\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2}a\beta^2 + e\alpha + f. \tag{24}$$

It should be noted that the above Lagrangian is not a unique one corresponding to the dynamical equation of Eq.(23) due to the existence of many linear canonical transformations on this system [6, 20]. As the parameter α is a solution of the classical dynamical equation of Eq.(14), we can denote it as a classical position by $\alpha \to X_c = \langle \hat{X} \rangle$ and Eq.(23) can be written in a standard form of DDPO as

$$\ddot{X}_c + \chi(t)\dot{X}_c + \xi(t)X_c = \eta(t), \tag{25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(t) &= -\frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \\ \xi(t) &= ab + \frac{\dot{a}c}{a} - c^2 - \dot{c}, \\ \eta(t) &= cd - ae + \dot{d} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}d. \end{aligned}$$

After the transformations of $\hat{U}_1$ on Heisenberg-Weyl algebra $h(4)$, the original Hamiltonian Eq.(13) becomes a standard quadratic time-dependent Hamiltonian which has been exactly solved by the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant method [23, 28]. Although the dynamical invariant method is powerful, there is no simple procedure to find an explicit invariant for a certain time-dependent Hamiltonian (the invariant for Eq.(22) is not unique). Subsequently, in our present method, a second group of independent FUTs on $su(2)$ algebra gives

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \hat{U}_2^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1\hat{U}_2 - i\hat{U}_2^{-1}\frac{\partial \hat{U}_2}{\partial t}, \tag{26}$$

where (see Appendix A)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_U &= \frac{1}{2}e^{2\theta_0}\left(a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ - \dot{\theta}_+ + b\right)\hat{X}^2 \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left[ae^{-2\theta_0} + e^{2\theta_0}\left(a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ - \dot{\theta}_+ + b\right)\theta_-^2 + 2\theta_-\left(c - a\theta_+ - \dot{\theta}_0\right) - \dot{\theta}_-\right]\hat{P}^2 \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left[e^{2\theta_0}\left(a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ - \dot{\theta}_+ + b\right)\theta_- + \left(c - a\theta_+ - \dot{\theta}_0\right)\right]\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if we set all the coefficients before $su(2)$ generators ($\hat{X}^2$, $\hat{P}^2$ and $\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}$) in the transformed Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ to be zero, we get the dynamical equations for θs as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}_+ &= a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ + b, \\ \dot{\theta}_- &= ae^{-2\theta_0}, \\ \dot{\theta}_0 &= c - a\theta_+. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

That means if all the parameters θs are controlled by Eq.(27), the transformed Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ will shift to a zero point of energy and the system will stay on its initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ beyond any quantum evolution. According to Eq.(26), we can see, in this case,

$$i\frac{\partial \hat{U}_2}{\partial t} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 \hat{U}_2, \tag{28}$$

and the solution of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ can be exactly solved by the transformation of $\hat{U}_2$. Combined with transformation $\hat{U}_1$, a complete solution of the initial Hamiltonian of Eq.(13) can be easily obtained

$$\Psi(X,t) = e^{-is(t)} e^{-i\alpha(t)\hat{P}} e^{-i\beta(t)\hat{X}} e^{-i\theta_+ \hat{X}^2/2} e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2} e^{-i\theta_- \hat{P}^2/2} \psi_0(X,t), \tag{29}$$

where $\psi_0(X,t)$ is the initial state of the system. Therefore, if all the six transformation parameters α, β, s and $\theta_+, \theta_0, \theta_-$ are solved or controlled by the corresponding parametric equations of Eq.(25) and Eq.(27), the wave function of the system described by Eq.(13) can be exactly solved by this method. The solution of Eq.(29) accompanied with two systems of nonlinear dynamical equations can perfectly resolve the complete evolution of an initial state into a classical and a quantum part, and can naturally discriminate the dynamic phase from the geometric phase in the parametric space during a quantum evolution. However, by considering the physical meaning of the six parameters in Eq.(29), we can see some problems of solving the parametric equation of Eq.(27) for a dissipative system.

According to the mathematical properties of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}$, we notice that $e^{-i\beta(t)\hat{X}}$ and $e^{-i\alpha(t)\hat{P}}$ are displacement operators which lead to translations of a wave packet in momentum and real space, respectively. The operators $e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2}$ and $e^{i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}$ are dispersive ones which induce width modifications of a wave packet in momentum and real space, respectively, and the transformation $e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}$ will result in squeezing (or dilation) of a wave packet. The geometric effects of the transformation parameters can be seen clearly in a Heisenberg picture. The position and momentum operators in the Heisenberg picture evolve as [25]

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{X}_h(t) &= \hat{U}^{-1}\hat{X}\hat{U} = e^{\theta_0}\hat{X} + \theta_- e^{\theta_0}\hat{P} + \alpha, \\
\hat{P}_h(t) &= \hat{U}^{-1}\hat{P}\hat{U} = \left(e^{-\theta_0} - e^{\theta_0}\theta_+\theta_-\right)\hat{P} - e^{\theta_0}\theta_+\hat{X} - \beta,
\end{aligned}$$

and, in a matrix form, the transformation $\hat{U}(t)$ gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{X}_h \\ \hat{P}_h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\theta_+ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\theta_0} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\theta_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta_- \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{X} \\ \hat{P} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above equation indicates a successive operation of translation, rotation and dilation on the operators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}$ and it is actually equivalent to a canonical transformation of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}$. Specifically, if the initial wave function is in a number state of $|n\rangle$, we can see the average values of

$$X_h(t) = \langle n|\hat{X}_h|n\rangle = \alpha(t), \quad P_h(t) = \langle n|\hat{P}_h|n\rangle = -\beta(t),$$

which directly show the total displacements of a wave packet in real and momentum space, respectively. The standard deviations of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{P}$ will be

$$\Delta X_h = e^{\theta_0}\sqrt{1+\theta_-^2}\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{30}$$

$$\Delta P_h = e^{\theta_0}\sqrt{\theta_+^2 + \left(e^{-2\theta_0} - \theta_+\theta_-\right)^2}\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{31}$$

which indicate the profile modifications of a wave packet in real and momentum space, respectively. Therefore, back to the Schrödinger picture, the transformation $\hat{U}(t)$ shows that the average position and the width of the wave packet will be controlled by the parametric equations of Eq.(25) and Eq.(27) during a quantum evolution. Furthermore, based on the transformation of $\hat{U}(t)$, the propagator of the wave function will be easily obtained as shown in Ref.[25].

As the classical dynamics of Eq.(25) has been discussed in section II, now, we try to find solutions of Eq.(27) in order to finally determine the wave function of Eq.(29). We can easily find that the first equation of Eq.(27) is decoupled from others by

$$\dot{\theta}_+ = a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ + b. \tag{32}$$

Eq.(32) is the Riccati differential equation [37] and its real solution can be solved by a Riccati transformation of

$$\theta_+ = -\frac{\dot{u}}{au}, \tag{33}$$

where u should satisfy the following linear second-order ordinary differential equation

$$\ddot{u} + \left(2c - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)\dot{u} + abu = 0. \tag{34}$$

Therefore, if θ_+ is determined, the other two parameters are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0(t) &= \int_0^t c(\tau)\, d\tau + \ln\frac{u(t)}{u(0)}, \\ \theta_-(t) &= a(t)\left[\frac{u(0)}{u(t)}\right]^2 e^{-2\int_0^t c(\tau)d\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

where we can set the initial condition of $\theta_+(0) = \theta_-(0) = \theta_0(0) = 0$ for a control problem. Finally, as all the six parameters are solved according to Eq.(25) and Eq.(27), the quantum wave function of Eq.(29) will be easily obtained through this method.

3. Quantum driven harmonic oscillator without damping

In order to verify the efficiency of the time-dependent FUT method, we first consider a solved problem: a driven harmonic oscillator without damping, which is described by a Hermite Hamiltonian [29]

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m_0} + \frac{1}{2}m_0\omega_0^2\hat{x}^2 - \hat{x}F(t), \tag{35}$$

where $F(t) = m_0\omega_0^2 f(t)$ is an external linear force with $f(t)$ having a dimension of length [30]. Usually, the parameters of the harmonic oscillator are time-independent, but many works have been done on a general time-dependent harmonic oscillator with the time varying mass and frequency [28].

Now we use the algebraic transformation method to solve the schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(x,t) = \hat{H}(t)\Psi(x,t). \tag{36}$$

A solution based on Lie transformation of Heisenberg algebra $h(4)$ [31] is

$$\Psi(x,t) = \hat{U}(t)\psi(x,t) \equiv e^{-is(t)/\hbar}e^{-i\alpha(t)\hat{p}/\hbar}e^{-i\beta(t)\hat{x}/\hbar}\psi(x,t), \tag{37}$$

which can transform Eq.(36) into

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,t) = \hat{H}_U\psi(x,t),$$

where

$$\hat{H}_U(t) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m_0} + \frac{1}{2}m_0\omega_0^2\hat{x}^2 + \left(m_0\omega_0^2\alpha - \dot{\beta} - F\right)\hat{x} - \left(\frac{\beta}{m_0} + \dot{\alpha}\right)\hat{p} + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{2m_0} + \frac{1}{2}m_0\omega_0^2\alpha^2 - \alpha F + \dot{\alpha}\beta - \dot{s}\right). \tag{38}$$

Usually, the transformation parameters $s(t), \alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are real in order to keep the transformation unitary. However, in some cases, especially in a dissipative system described by non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, the parameters can be generalized to complex functions. Removing all the linear terms in the Hamiltonian of Eq.(38), we have a specific case of Eq.(21) as

$$\dot{\beta} = m_0\omega_0^2\alpha - F, \tag{39}$$

$$\dot{\alpha} = -\frac{\beta}{m_0}, \tag{40}$$

$$\dot{s} = \frac{\beta^2}{2m_0} + \frac{1}{2}m_0\omega_0^2\alpha^2 - \alpha F + \dot{\alpha}\beta, \tag{41}$$

and $\hat{H}_U$ becomes a time-independent Hamiltonian of harmonic oscillator

$$\hat{H}_U(t) = \frac{1}{2m_0}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0\omega_0^2\hat{x}^2.$$

The solutions of the harmonic oscillator are well-known as

$$\varphi_n(x,t) = \varphi_n(x)\, e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}, \tag{42}$$

where $\varphi_n(x)$ is the stationary function of the harmonic oscillator with the quantum energy of $E_n = (n+1/2)\hbar\omega_0$.

Now let's find out why the transformation parameters of $\hat{U}(t)$ can convert a driven harmonic oscillator into a free one. From Eq.(39) and Eq.(40), we find that the parameter $\alpha(t)$ satisfies

$$\ddot{\alpha} + \omega_0^2\alpha = \frac{F}{m_0}, \tag{43}$$

which clearly obeys the classical dynamical equation of Eq.(1) without damping. In this case, we set $\alpha(t) = \langle\hat{x}\rangle = x_c(t)$ and

$$\beta(t) = -m_0\dot{\alpha} = -m_0\dot{x}_c = -p_c,$$

which corresponds a classical momentum but in a reverse direction. The parameter $s(t)$ determined by Eq.(41) is

$$s(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(x_c, \dot{x}_c, t')\, dt',$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(x_c, \dot{x}_c, t) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}m_0\dot{x}_c^2 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 x_c^2 + x_c F\right). \tag{44}$$

We can see that $\mathcal{L}$ is the classical Lagrangian for a driven harmonic oscillator with a negative sign and thus the parameter $s(t)$ is a negative classical action. For this reason, we can see that the parameters α, β and s actually enable a time reversal transformation on the system to convert a time-dependent problem into a stationary one. Here we should notice that different forms of $\hat{U}(t)$ will definitely lead to different classical Lagrangian functions of $\mathcal{L}(x_c, \dot{x}_c, t)$. As Lagrangian functions producing the same dynamical equation are not unique [32], different Lagrangian functions actually correspond to different types of linear canonical transformations on Eq.(44) [6].

Therefore, if the initial state (before the force is applied) is $\varphi_n(x,t)$, the solution of Eq.(37) at time t will be

$$\Psi_n(x,t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t \mathcal{L}\left(x_c,\dot{x}_c,t'\right)dt'} e^{im_0\dot{x}_c(x-x_c)/\hbar}\varphi_n(x-x_c,t), \tag{45}$$

where the displacement operator $e^{-ix_c\hat{p}/\hbar}$ gives $e^{-ix_c\hat{p}/\hbar}\varphi(x,t) = \varphi(x-x_c,t)$. Clearly, we can easily check that the solution of Eq.(45) is dynamically equivalent to that given in Ref.[33, 34].

Based on Eq.(45), we calculate the quantum evolutions of the driven harmonic oscillator starting from three different initial states in Fig.4. We can see a clear connection between the classical and quantum dynamics by Lie transformation method. The solution reveals that the classical and quantum dynamics are dynamically separated (for the different dynamic scales) and the parameters related to the classical motion become the external parameters of the quantum wave function. Fig.4 demonstrates that the probability distribution of a driven harmonic oscillator maintains its profile shape during a pure state evolution and the driving force only adjusts the mean position of the probability distribution to follow the classical orbit (dashed line). The translation operation on a quantum state controlled by an external force can be revealed by the properties of a displaced number state [35]. With Dirac notation, Eq.(45) becomes

$$|n,\alpha_c,t\rangle = e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}e^{-is(t)/\hbar}e^{-i\frac{x_c p_c}{2\hbar}}\hat{D}(\alpha_c)|n\rangle \tag{46}$$

where $\hat{D}(\alpha_c)$ is the displacement operator with the parameter

$$\alpha_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{x_c}{x_{\mathrm{zpf}}} + i\frac{p_c}{p_{\mathrm{zpf}}}\right),$$

and $|n\rangle$ is the number state of the harmonic oscillator. Usually, the displaced number state $|n,\alpha\rangle$ is defined by

$$|n,\alpha\rangle = \hat{D}(\alpha)|n\rangle = e^{\left(\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*\hat{a}\right)}|n\rangle,$$



FIG. 4: The quantum evolution of the probability distributions and their classical orbits (red dashed lines) of the driven harmonic oscillator starting from initial states of (a) $|0\rangle$, (b) $|1\rangle$, (c) $|2\rangle$ and (d) $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The driving parameters are $f = 0.2, \Omega = 0.8$ and the classical parameters are set to be $x_c(0) = p_c(0) = 0$ in order to highlight the quantum distribution.

and it is also called generalized coherent state for a Heisenberg algebra [35]. The displaced number state can be expanded by [35, 36]

$$|n,\alpha\rangle = \sum_m |m\rangle \langle m|\, n,\alpha\rangle = \sum_m c_{m,\alpha} e^{i\varphi_m} |m\rangle ,$$

where $\alpha = |\alpha| e^{i\varphi_0}$ and

$$c_{m,\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{m!}} (-1)^{n-m} |\alpha|^{n-m} e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} L_m^{n-m}\left(|\alpha|^2\right), \quad \varphi_m = (n-m)\varphi_0.$$

Then the survival probability of a driven harmonic oscillator in the initial state $|n\rangle$ will be

$$P_n = \left|\langle n| \hat{U}(t) |n\rangle\right|^2 = |c_{n,\alpha_c}|^2 = e^{-E_c(t)} \left[L_n\left(E_c\left(t\right)\right)\right]^2 , \tag{47}$$

where $L_n(x)$ is Laguerre polynomial function and $E_c = |\alpha_c|^2 = (x_c^2 + p_c^2)/2$ is the classical energy. Eq.(47) means that the probability of the system remaining in its initial state depends on the classical energy of $E_c(t)$, which is only related to the classical motion induced by the external driving force. If a simple harmonic driving force is exerted, the final classical motion is $x_c(t) = A_2 \cos(\Omega t + \phi_2)$ (see Eq.(3)) and the probability in the initial state will be

$$P_n(t) = e^{-E_f^c(t)} \left[L_n\left(E_f^c\right)\right]^2 ,$$

where $E_f^c(t)$ is the final classical energy stored in the harmonic oscillator. The above result indicates that the survival probability of a wave function in state $|n\rangle$ is determined only by the classical dynamics due to an overall shift of the wave function relative to its initial position. As the probability distribution of the quantum state remains unchanged (see Fig.4) except for an overall translation, the quantum resonant transition between different quantum state of $|n\rangle$ can not be excited by a resonant linear force of $F(t)$. However, as there is no damping in this case, the overall shift of the wave packet will continuously increase as a result of the classical resonant dynamics and the quantum distribution will be totally masked by an increasing displacement of the wave packet.

4. Problems for Lie transformation method

Although the Lie transformation seems simple and perfect, the solutions given by this method in some cases exhibit bad behaviors, especially for an open quantum system with dissipations, because the real parameters θs often become divergent and no physical solution can survive then (this will be demonstrated in III C 1). Therefore, more control parameters should be introduced by performing further transformations in order to avoid the singular dynamics of Eq.(27). Another way to smooth the divergent behavior for a damping case without increasing the parametric dimension is to use a non-Hermitian effective Hamiltonian (the parameters $a(t), b(t)$ or $c(t)$ may be complex functions) to find a complex solution for the Riccati Eq.(32) by taking a form of [37]

$$\theta_+ = -\frac{\dot{\rho}}{a\rho} - i\frac{1}{\rho^2}, \tag{48}$$

and ρ will satisfy the following differential equation instead

$$\ddot{\rho}+\left(2c-\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)\dot{\rho}+ab\rho=\frac{a^2}{\rho^3}, \tag{49}$$

where we suppose $a(t)$ is still a real function in this case. The complex solution of Eq.(48) has a better dynamical behavior and Eq.(49) is the famous Ermakov equation often used in the problems of time-dependent parametric harmonic oscillator [37]. In this case, the other two parameters become

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_0(t) &= \int_0^t c(\tau)\,d\tau+\ln\frac{\rho(t)}{\rho(0)}+i\int_0^t\frac{a}{\rho^2}d\tau,\\ \theta_-(t) &= a\left[\frac{\rho(0)}{\rho(t)}\right]^2 e^{-2\int_0^t c(\tau)d\tau}e^{-2i\int_0^t\frac{a}{\rho^2}d\tau}.\end{aligned}$$

However, we should notice that the parameters θs are complex numbers, and the unitary evolution of the wave function will be broken as expected for a dissipative system.

Because of the pathological behavior of the dynamical equation of Eq.(27) for a dissipative system, we can not always obtain optimal solutions for *real* θs through Eq.(27) to find a physical solution of Eq.(29). Anyway, we can always choose a transformation of $\hat{U}(t)$ to convert the original Hamiltonian into a solvable one by generally setting the corresponding coefficients before $su(2)$ generators in Eq.(26) to be arbitrary functions, say $K_1(t), K_2(t), K_3(t)$ (Ks). Then, for the Lie transformation of Eq.(20), a general parametric equation will be got

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta}_+ &= a\theta_+^2-2c\theta_+-K_2e^{-2\theta_0}+b,\\ \dot{\theta}_- &= ae^{-2\theta_0}-K_2\theta_-^2+2K_3\theta_--K_1,\\ \dot{\theta}_0 &= K_2\theta_--a\theta_++c-K_3,\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

and the final transformed Hamiltonian becomes

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U(t)=\frac{1}{2}K_1(t)\hat{P}^2+\frac{1}{2}K_2(t)\hat{X}^2+\frac{1}{2}K_3(t)\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right), \tag{51}$$

which again takes the same form as Eq.(22). In principle, the repeating FUT transformations of $\hat{U}_2$ will connect all the relevant quadratic Hamiltonians in the form of Eq.(22) and finally give a closed group [38]. As different functions assigned to Ks will lead to different parametric equations and thus yield different wave functions, now, the key problem is how to determine or design the time-dependent functions of Ks. The freedom to choice Ks indicates a trick that the FUTs should transform or simplify the original Hamiltonian to a solvable form according to specific problems with special initial conditions, which we will show in the next section by solving some typical models of DDPO.

As the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant method is a powerful tool to solve Hamiltonian of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ and has been extensively discussed in many works [23, 39, 40], we can easily connect Lie transformation with this method to determine the proper functions of Ks. As, in principle, there exist many dynamical invariants of $\hat{I}$ for a given Hamiltonian [19, 39], so, generally, we suppose that the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ can be transformed into a real function of the dynamical invariant of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ [41], i.e.,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U(t)=F(\hat{I}_1) \tag{52}$$

where the invariant $\hat{I}_1(t)$ of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{I}_1(t)=\frac{\partial\hat{I}_1}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{i}\left[\hat{I}_1,\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1\right]=0. \tag{53}$$

Surely, if the real function $F(x)$ is properly designed for a specific control problem, all the time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonians connected by FUT on $su(2)$ algebra can be exactly solved by considering only the invariants of the system. Among different invariants of $\hat{I}_1(t)$, we only focus on the simplest one, such as the basic invariant [42], or on a suitable one chosen for a specifically controlled system. Incidently, if $K_1(t)=a(t), K_2(t)=b(t), K_3(t)=c(t)$, the Hamiltonian is invariant under the FUT and the corresponding transformation operator $\hat{U}_2$ itself will be an invariant operator following Eq.(53). This indicates a convergent case for the repeated FUT transformations on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$.

Simply, if Eq.(51) can be reduced to *one* of the invariants of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$, the invariant requirement of Eq.(53) will lead to a dynamical equation for the parameter Ks as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{K}_1 &= 2cK_1 - 2aK_3, \\ \dot{K}_2 &= 2bK_3 - 2cK_2, \\ \dot{K}_3 &= bK_1 - aK_2. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

As shown in Ref.[23], an exact solution of Eq.(54) can be constructed by setting

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t) &= \rho^2, \\ K_2(t) &= \frac{\Omega^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{a^2}\left(c\rho - \dot{\rho}\right)^2, \\ K_3(t) &= \frac{\rho}{a}\left(c\rho - \dot{\rho}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where an auxiliary function $\rho(t)$ is introduced to solve Eq.(54) and it should obey a dynamical equation of

$$\ddot{\rho} + \chi\left(t\right)\dot{\rho} + \xi\left(t\right)\rho = \Omega^2 \frac{a^2}{\rho^3}, \tag{56}$$

where Ω is an arbitrary real constant, $\chi(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ are defined in Eq.(25). We can see that Eq.(56) is a different equation from the classical dynamic equation of Eq.(25) but is similar to the Ermakov equation of Eq.(49) obtained by a complex solution for Riccati equation. The new dynamical equation of Eq.(56) coming up here is due to the requirement of keeping the transformed $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ to be a dynamical invariant of Hamiltonian (22). For the solution of Eq.(55), Eq.(51) gives an invariant of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Omega}{\rho}\right)^2 \hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[\rho\hat{P} + \frac{1}{a}\left(c\rho - \dot{\rho}\right)\hat{X}\right]^2. \tag{57}$$

As the functions of Ks are determined by Eq.(55), the transformation parameters of θs in Eq.(50) can provide a general Lie transformation to exactly solve the wave function of Eq.(29). Surely, for a control problem to obtain a target state, we can "design" the functions of Ks for a controlled system from its initial Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ to construct a final $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(T)$ by an inverse Lie transformation during a finite controlling time interval of T [19, 43].

Certainly, the simplest case to determine Lie transformations is to set all the three parameters of Ks to be constant (the constant Ks are the special solutions of Eq.(54)). In this case, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ will naturally become an invariant and the initial time-dependent system $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ can be turned into a time-independent system (conservative system) by the time-dependent FUT transformation of $\hat{U}(t)$. Theoretically, the constant Ks can always be permitted by this method but, unfortunately, sometimes the constant Ks will lead to pathological solutions of θs which fail to determine the wave function. In this case, a constructed invariant Hamiltonian from $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U(0)$ by an inverse Lie transformation of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U\hat{U}^{-1}(t)$ is needed. In the following part, we will apply this Lie transformation method to different models of DDPO and show how to solve or construct an invariant Hamiltonian with different choice of Ks. A general case of Ks is considered in the end.

C. Some typical models of DDPO

In this section we will apply the above method to some specific problems of DDPO by adopting different parametric functions of $a(t), \cdots, f(t)$ in Hamiltonian of Eq.(13). A typical Hamiltonian widely used in the literature to described a DDPO is [8]

$$\hat{H}(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + e^{2\gamma t}\left[\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2\hat{x}^2 - \hat{x}F\left(t\right)\right]. \tag{58}$$

If the mass m and the frequency ω of the parametric oscillator are time-independent ($m \to m_0, \omega \to \omega_0$), this Hermitian Hamiltonian with real parameters has a scaled form of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\gamma t}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\gamma t}\hat{X}^2 - e^{2\gamma t}f_c\left(t\right)\hat{X}, \tag{59}$$

where the system parameters are $a(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}$, $b(t) = e^{2\gamma t}$ and $e(t) = -e^{2\gamma t} f_c(t)$. The initial Hamiltonian of this model is

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{X}^2 - f_c(0)\,\hat{X},$$

which means initially the system is a harmonic oscillator under a driving force of $f_c(t)$ and we can set $f_c(0) = 0$ for simplicity. By using the time-dependent Lie transformation method, we can recover Eq.(1) by Eq.(25) as

$$\ddot{X}_c + 2\gamma\dot{X}_c + X_c = f_c(t), \tag{60}$$

which is exactly the classical dynamical equation discussed in section II. Here we consider again a simple driving case of $f_c(t) = f_0 \cos(\Omega t + \phi)$ and the classical Lagrangian given by Eq.(24) is

$$\mathcal{L}\left(X_c, \dot{X}_c, t\right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{2\gamma t}X_c^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\gamma t}\dot{X}_c^2 - f_0 e^{2\gamma t}\cos(\Omega t + \phi)\,X_c.$$

After the transformation of $\hat{U}_1$ on $h(4)$ algebra, the Hamiltonian reduces to a pure quadratic form of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\gamma t}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\gamma t}\hat{X}^2, \tag{61}$$

and it becomes a well-known Hamiltonian for a free harmonic oscillator with an exponentially increasing effective mass ($e^{2\gamma t}$). Then the followed transformation on $su(2)$ algebra gives

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U(t) = \frac{1}{2}K_1\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}K_2\hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}K_3\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right), \tag{62}$$

and the parametric equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\theta}_+ &= e^{-2\gamma t}\theta_+^2 - K_2 e^{-2\theta_0} + e^{2\gamma t}, \\
\dot{\theta}_- &= e^{-2\gamma t}e^{-2\theta_0} - K_2\theta_-^2 + 2K_3\theta_- - K_1, \\
\dot{\theta}_0 &= K_2\theta_- - e^{-2\gamma t}\theta_+ - K_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Surely, the solutions of θs depend on the choice of Ks. Now we combine different types of Ks to determine transformation parameters of θs by transforming the final Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ into differen solvable forms.

1. *Exact solvable case of $Ks = 0$*

The simplest case is to set $K_1 = K_2 = K_3 = 0$ in Eq.(63) and the transformed Hamiltonian Eq.(62) will shift to a zero point of energy. In principle, no constraints exclude this simplest case and the real solution for the transformation parameter θ_+ is

$$\theta_+(t) = -e^{2\gamma t}\frac{\dot{\sigma}_c}{\sigma_c}, \tag{64}$$

where σ_c satisfies the homogenous equation for a damped harmonic oscillator as

$$\ddot{\sigma}_c + 2\gamma\dot{\sigma}_c + \sigma_c = 0. \tag{65}$$

The solution of Eq.(65) is well known

$$\sigma_c(t) = \sigma_0 e^{-\gamma t}\left[\cos\left(\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}t\right) + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}}\sin\left(\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}t\right)\right],$$

where σ_0 is the initial value of σ_c. Then the other two transformation parameters read

$$\theta_0(t) = \ln\left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\sigma_0}\right), \quad \theta_-(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_c}\right)^2.$$



FIG. 5: The dynamics of parameters of (a) $\sigma(t)$ and (b) $\theta_+(t), \theta_0(t)$, and $\theta_-(t)$. The other parameters are $\gamma = 0.1$ and $\sigma_0 = \sqrt{3}$.

Fig.5 shows the dynamics of the parameters of $\sigma_c(t)$ and θs in this simplest case. As the parameter $\sigma_c(t)$ follows Eq.(65), we can see clearly that θs become divergent when

$$\cot\left(\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}t\right) = -\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}}. \tag{66}$$

At the divergent time, θs become singular and the solution will loose its physical meaning. If the initial wave function is in the eigenstate of the harmonic oscillator

$$\varphi_n(X,0) = A_n e^{-\frac{1}{2}X^2} H_n(X), \quad |A_n|^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}2^n n!},$$

then the wave function at time t will be

$$\Psi(X,t) = e^{-iE_n t} e^{-i\int_0^t \mathcal{L}\left(X_c,\dot{X}_c,t\right)dt} e^{-\theta_0/2} e^{-iX_c\hat{P}} e^{i\left(e^{-2\gamma t}\dot{X}_c\right)\hat{X}} e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2} e^{-i\theta_0\hat{X}\hat{P}} e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}\varphi_n(X), \tag{67}$$



FIG. 6: The evolution of probability distributions around the classical orbits (red dashed lines) for the DDPO starting from different initial states of (a) $|0\rangle$, (b) $|1\rangle$ and (c) $|2\rangle$ under a harmonic driving force of $f_c = 0.2\cos(0.8\omega_0 t)$. The damping rate of the oscillator is $\gamma = 0.2$ and the initial conditions for (a) $X_c(0) = 1, P_c(0) = 0$, (b) $X_c(0) = \sqrt{3}, P_c(0) = 0$ and (c) $X_c(0) = \sqrt{5}, P_c(0) = 0$ according to the corresponding energies of the number state.

where the quantum energy E_n is in the unit of $\hbar\omega_0$. In Fig.6, we calculate the probability distributions of $\Psi(X,t)$ starting from different initial states and clearly find the singular behaviors of Eq.(67) compared with the case without damping in Fig.4. When the parameters θs become divergent, the wave packet deviates from the classical orbit (dashed lines) and quickly spreads out (see Eq.(30) or Eq.(31)) at the time determined by Eq.(66). We can see that the simplest case of $K_s = 0$ can not give a convergent long-time solution of the DDPO described by Caldirola-Kanai Hamiltonian of Eq.(58).



FIG. 7: The dynamics of parameter $\sigma_c(t)$ and the dynamic behaviors of the real and imaginary parts of $\theta_+, \theta_0, \theta_-$. The other parameters are the same as that in Fig.5.

Therefore, we can formally construct the complex θs of Eq.(48) for a system described by a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian with complex coefficients [37]. Then the complex solution of θ_+ is

$$\theta_+(t) = -e^{2\gamma t}\frac{\dot{\sigma}_c}{\sigma_c} - i\frac{1}{\sigma_c^2},$$

and the classical dynamical equation changes to

$$\ddot{\sigma}_c + 2\gamma\dot{\sigma}_c + \sigma_c = \frac{e^{-4\gamma t}}{\sigma_c^3}.$$

The other two complex parameters are

$$\theta_0(t) = \ln\left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\sigma_0}\right) + i\theta(t), \quad \theta_-(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_c}\right)^2 e^{-2i\theta(t)},$$

where

$$\theta(t) = \int_0^t \frac{e^{-2\gamma\tau}}{\sigma_c^2} d\tau.$$

In this case the dynamics of the parameters θs are improved at the divergent times, as shown in Fig.7, but, still, θs will go to infinity in the long time limit. As the complex θs break the unitary evolution of the wave function, the probability density of the wave function will be distorted by the imaginary parts of θs compared with that of Eq.(67) shown in Fig.6. The evolutions of the probability distributions starting from different number states are displayed in Fig.8. We can see that the spread of the wave packet is removed by a localization of the wave packet induced by the imaginary parts of θs. As the amplitudes of the parameters θs continuously increase with time, the local probability density will finally exceed a value when the solution again becomes invalid. The above results demonstrate that, although the Lie transformation method seems neat to get an exact quantum solution if we set all the parameters of Ks to be zero, we can't always find physical wave functions for the time-dependent quantum system, especially, for a dissipative one ($\sigma_c \to 0$). Certainly, a better solution can be obtained if we choose other values of Ks.



FIG. 8: The evolution of the wave packets starting from number states of (a) $|0\rangle$, (b) $|1\rangle$ and (c) $|2\rangle$ by using the complex parameter method. The other parameters are the same as that in Fig.6.

2. *The driven parametric harmonic oscillator*

If, initially, the system $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ is a free harmonic oscillator, then we can naturally set $K_1 = 1$, $K_2 = \Omega_0^2$ and $K_3 = 0$ for a better choice to improve the dynamical behavior of the transformation parameters. In this case, the time-dependent Hamiltonian of Eq.(59) can be transformed into a time-independent harmonic oscillator as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0^2\hat{X}^2, \tag{68}$$

provided that the transformation parameters of θs obey the following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}_+ &= e^{-2\gamma t}\theta_+^2 - \Omega_0^2 e^{-2\theta_0} + e^{2\gamma t}, \\ \dot{\theta}_- &= e^{-2\gamma t}e^{-2\theta_0} - \Omega_0^2\theta_-^2 - 1, \\ \dot{\theta}_0 &= \Omega_0^2\theta_- - e^{-2\gamma t}\theta_+. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

If the parameters θs are perfectly solved, the wave function will be

$$\Psi(X,t) = \hat{U}(t)\,\psi_0(X,t) = \hat{U}_1(t)\,\hat{U}_2(t)\,\psi_0(X,t),$$

and the initial wave function $\psi_0(X,t)$ can be expressed by

$$\psi_0(X,t) = \sum_n C_n \varphi_n(X,t), \tag{70}$$

where C_n are complex constants determined by the initial population distribution and

$$\varphi_n(X,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\pi}2^n n!}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}X^2} H_n(X)\, e^{-iE_n t}, \quad E_n = \Omega_0\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

In this case, the dynamics of θs (left), the variances $\Delta X_h, \Delta P_h$ and the position-momentum uncertainty $\Delta X_h \Delta P_h$ (right) of the wave packet starting from the ground state $|0\rangle$ are shown in the upper frames of Fig.9. The lower frames of Fig.9(a)-(c) demonstrate the probability distributions of the wave packets starting from different number states. Fig.9 reveals that a squeezing of fluctuation in position is in the expense of a dilation in momentum and the wave function will gradually go to a localized displaced number state in real space, and then finally it explodes in momentum space due to $\Delta P_h \to \infty$ ($\Delta X_h \to 0$). Unfortunately, a further introduced parameter Ω_0 still fails to stabilize the longtime evolution of the wave packet in this case. We find that only $\Omega_0 = 1$ is a better choice for the damping case because Eq.(69) becomes unstable if Ω_0 deviates a little from 1. During a quantum state evolution, the damping rate γ plays an important role in compressing the wave packet in real space through θs and the state



FIG. 9: (Upper frames) The dynamical behaviors of the parameters of $\theta_+, \theta_0, \theta_-$ and the fluctuations of position ΔX_h and momentum ΔP_h as well as the uncertainty of $\Delta X_h \cdot \Delta P_h$ for $\Omega_0 = 1$. (Lower frames) The evolutions of the wave packets starting from the initial states of (a) $|0\rangle$, (b) $|1\rangle$ and (c) $|2\rangle$. The other parameters are the same as that in Fig.6.

evolution is exactly the same as that shown in Fig.8 by the complex variables of θs. The highly compressed wave packet after a long time evolution is due to an unboundedly increasing of the effective mass of the oscillator ($e^{2\gamma t}$) and clearly is unreasonable, but for a control problem, the solution for a short time interval is still physically acceptable.

In order to give more insight into the state evolution based on Eq.(68), we consider a specific model of a parametric oscillator with time-dependent mass and frequency as

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{1}{2m(t)}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m(t)\,\omega^2(t)\,\hat{x}^2. \tag{71}$$

If $m(0) = m_0$ and $\omega(0) = \omega_0$, the scaled Hamiltonian in the units of Eq.(12) is

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) = \frac{1}{2M(t)}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}M(t)\,\Omega_0^2(t)\,\hat{X}^2,$$

where $M(t) = m(t)/m_0$, $\Omega_0(t) = \omega(t)/\omega_0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is in unit of $\hbar\omega_0$. Above Hamiltonian has a $su(2)$ algebraic structure and we can use Lie transformations of

$$\hat{U}_2(t) = e^{-i\frac{\theta_+}{2}\hat{X}^2} e^{-i\frac{\theta_0}{2}\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)} e^{-i\frac{\theta_-}{2}\hat{P}^2} \tag{72}$$

to reverse it into the initial Hamiltonian of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(0) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{X}^2,$$

where $M(0)=\Omega_0(0)=1$. Therefore, in this case, the transformation parameters must follow ($K_1=K_2=1$)

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta}_+ &= \frac{1}{M(t)}\theta_+^2 - e^{-2\theta_0} + M(t)\,\Omega_0^2(t),\\ \dot{\theta}_- &= \frac{1}{M(t)}e^{-2\theta_0} - \theta_-^2 - 1,\\ \dot{\theta}_0 &= \theta_- - \frac{1}{M(t)}\theta_+,\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

which, clearly, is a specific case of Eq.(68) for $\Omega_0=1$. Actually, the condition of Eq.(73) having a steady state is only for $\Omega_0^2(t)=1$. The classical equation of a parametric oscillator determined by Eq.(25) in this case is

$$\ddot{X}_c + \frac{\dot{M}(t)}{M(t)}\dot{X}_c + \Omega_0^2(t)\,X_c = 0. \tag{74}$$



FIG. 10: (a)(c)The dynamical behaviors of parameters θ_+ (thick lines), θ_0 (dashed lines), θ_- (thin lines) and (b)(d) the corresponding fluctuations of position ΔX_h (thin lines), momentum ΔP_h (dashed lines) and the uncertainty of $\Delta X_h\cdot\Delta P_h$ (thick lines) for the parametric harmonic oscillator starting from ground state $|0\rangle$ under different modulating frequencies of ν. The modulating amplitude on the frequency is $\lambda=0.2$.

Certainly, as the original Hamiltonian (71) only has an algebraic structure of $su(2)$, the Lie transformation $\hat{U}_1$ of $h(4)$ is not necessarily needed (no driving case) and the classical dynamical equation of Eq.(74) should not be included. However, the model can be easily generalized to solve a driven parametric oscillator with a classical dynamic equation as Eq.(60)

$$\ddot{X}_c + \frac{\dot{M}(t)}{M(t)}\dot{X}_c + \Omega_0^2(t)\,X_c = \frac{f_0}{M(t)}\cos(\Omega t+\phi), \tag{75}$$

which will lead to a wave function of

$$\Psi(X,t) = \hat{U}_1(t)\,\hat{U}_2(t)\,\psi_0(X,t)$$

with $\psi_0(X,t)$ being defined by Eq.(70). Now we consider a specific control on the parametric functions of

$$M(t)=1, \quad \Omega^2(t)=1+\lambda\sin(\nu t), \tag{76}$$

to demonstrate the quantum evolution of a DDPO starting from different number states. In Fig.10 we first show the temporal behaviors of the transformation parameters of θs and the corresponding fluctuations in position and momentum starting from the ground state $|0\rangle$. We can see an alternative squeezing in position and momentum below 1/2 for a modulating frequency of $\nu=2\omega_0$ and the probability distributions of the wave function display manifest distortions for the parametric resonant case as shown in Fig.11.

For a harmonic oscillator with only a time-dependent mass of $M(t)$, we can simplify the transformation of $\hat{U}_2$ in Eq.(72) by setting $\theta_-=0$, and then we get

$$\theta_+(t)=\frac{1}{2}\dot{M}(t), \quad \theta_0(t)=-\frac{1}{2}\ln M(t),$$



FIG. 11: The evolution of wave packets of the driven parametric oscillator starting from initial states of (a)(b)$|0\rangle$, (c)(d) $|1\rangle$, (e)(f) $|2\rangle$ and (g)(h)$(|0\rangle+|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The driving amplitude is $f_0 = 0.2$ and the driving frequency is $\Omega = 0.8$. The initial conditions of $X_c(0), P_c(0)$ for differen number states $|n\rangle$ are the same as that in Fig.6 and the initial conditions for the superposition state of $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ are $X_c(0) = 1, P_c(0) = 0$.

and the mass should be controlled by an equation of

$$\ddot{M} - \frac{\dot{M}^2}{2M} + 2M\left(1 - \Omega_0^2\right) = 0$$

in order to meet Eq.(73). Then the transformation in this case becomes

$$\hat{U}_2(t) = e^{-i\frac{1}{4}\dot{M}(t)\hat{X}^2} e^{i\frac{1}{4}[\ln M(t)]\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)},$$

and the solution without driving will be

$$\Psi\left(X,t\right) = M^{1/4} e^{-i\frac{1}{4}\dot{M}(t)X^2} \psi_0\left(\sqrt{M(t)}X, t\right).$$

The wave function indicates a dilation of the position coordinate with an increase of the mass of the parametric oscillator, which implying a localization of the wave packet as shown in Fig.9. Surely, another specific transformation of $\hat{U}_2$ to control the evolution of the wave function can set $\theta_+ = 0$.

In order to produce more reliable wave functions for a long-time evolution, we can combine Lie transformation with invariant operator to solve the problem based on Hamiltonian of Eq.(68). If the controlled time-dependent system Eq.(13) starts with a Hamiltonian of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ as shown by Eq.(19), a general invariant operator for system Eq.(58) can be constructed by an inverse transformation of $\hat{U}(t)$ on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ by

$$\hat{I}\left(t\right) = \hat{U}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U\hat{U}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}e^{2\theta_0}\left[\left(\hat{P}+\beta\right) + \theta_+\left(\hat{X}-\alpha\right)\right]^2 + \frac{\Omega_0^2}{2}\left[\left(e^{-\theta_0} - e^{\theta_0}\theta_-\theta_+\right)\left(\hat{X}-\alpha\right) - e^{\theta_0}\theta_-\left(\hat{P}+\beta\right)\right]^2.$$

Clearly, if we set $\theta_- = 0$ in Eq.(69), the invariant operator reduces to

$$\hat{I}\left(t\right) = \frac{1}{2}e^{2\theta_0}\left[\left(\hat{P}+\beta\right) + \theta_+\left(\hat{X}-\alpha\right)\right]^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0^2 e^{-2\theta_0}\left(\hat{X}-\alpha\right)^2, \tag{77}$$

which is equivalent to the invariant operator given by Ref.[16, 17] when we use a relation of $\theta_0 = \ln\rho$. The dynamical invariant obtained here is also equivalent to that derived from Eq.(57), which we will investigate in detail in a general case of Ks by using Eq.(50) and Eq.(54) simultaneously.

3. The driven free particle in a well with moving boundary

If the initial Hamiltonian of Eq.(13), $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0)$, describes a free particle ($a(0)=1, b(0)=1, c(0)=0$) or the system is initially prepared in a simple state of plane waves, then we can use the parameters $K_1 = K(t)$ and $K_2 = K_3 = 0$ to transform Eq.(13) into a free-particle like Hamiltonian of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \frac{1}{2} K(t) \hat{P}^2. \tag{78}$$

Here the controlled parametric equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}_+ &= a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ + b, \\ \dot{\theta}_- &= a e^{-2\theta_0} - K(t), \\ \dot{\theta}_0 &= c - a\theta_+. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

In this case, we should solve the eigenstate of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$ by

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(X,t) = \frac{1}{2} K(t) \hat{P}^2 \varphi(X,t),$$

and the separation variables of $\varphi(X,t)$ gives

$$\varphi(X,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{iK_0 X} e^{-iE_0 \int_0^t K(\tau) d\tau},$$

where E_0 is the separation constant (the kinetic energy) and the scaled momentum K_0 is determined by the eigenequation of the momentum operator

$$\hat{P} f_{K_0}(X) = K_0 f_{K_0}(X), \qquad f_{K_0}(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{iK_0 X}, \tag{80}$$

where $K_0 = \sqrt{2E_0}$. Therefore, if the parameters θs can be properly controlled by Eq.(79), the final wave function for DDPO in this case will be got by the transformations of $\hat{U}_1$ and $\hat{U}_2$ as

$$\Psi(X,t) = \hat{U}_1(t) \hat{U}_2(t) \varphi(X,t).$$

By using the system parameters of Eq.(59), the parameters θ_+ and θ_0 have the solutions of

$$\theta_+(t) = -e^{2\gamma t}\dot{\rho}, \quad \theta_0(t) = \ln\rho \tag{81}$$

with an auxiliary equation of

$$\ddot{\rho} + 2\gamma\dot{\rho} + \rho = 0. \tag{82}$$

Eq.(81) indicates that θ_- is decoupled from θ_+ and θ_0 in Eq.(79), then we can simply set

$$K(t) = e^{-2\gamma t} e^{-2\theta_0} = \frac{e^{-2\gamma t}}{\rho^2}$$

to keep θ_- as a constant. Therefore the wave function in real space will be

$$\Psi(X,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\rho}} e^{-is(t)} e^{-iE_0 \int_0^t \frac{e^{-2\gamma\tau}}{\rho^2} d\tau} e^{ie^{2\gamma t}\dot{X}_c (X - X_c)} e^{ie^{2\gamma t}\dot{\rho}(X-X_c)^2/2} e^{-i\frac{\sqrt{2E_0}}{\rho}(X - X_c)}, \tag{83}$$

where we have set $\theta_- = 0$ for simplicity and X_c satisfies the classical dynamical equation of Eq.(60). As Eq.(82) is the same as Eq.(65), the wave function of Eq.(83) is not optimal because it has a coefficient of $1/\sqrt{\rho}$ which becomes divergent when $\rho \to 0$. Although the wave function Eq.(83) is a particular solution of Eq.(13) based on the plane wave basis, it can show the dynamic details of different phase components during a state evolution starting from a plane wave function.

The Lie transformation in the present case can provide a simple way to solve the problem of a free particle in an infinite square well with a moving boundary if we use the parameters Ks to construct the invariant Hamiltonian for the

free particle. The quantum well with a moving boundary [43] is a popular model to simulate the quantum piston in the quantum control problems [4]. The position of the well boundary can be described by a time-dependent function of $L(t)$ and a time-dependent scale transformation of $x \to x/L(t)$ can convert the problem into a normal time-independent infinite square well of unit width. Clearly, the Lie transformation corresponding to the scale transformation in real space is

$$\hat{U}(t) = e^{-i \ln L(t) \left(\hat{X}\hat{P}\right)},$$

which gives $\hat{U} f(X) = f(X/L)$ for an arbitrary function of $f(x)$. Therefore, we can choose a Lie transformation of

$$\hat{U}_2 = e^{-i\theta_+ \hat{X}^2/2} e^{-i\theta_0 \left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2} \tag{84}$$

to solve this problem with $\theta_0 = \ln L(t)$ and $\theta_- = 0$. After a FUT of $\hat{U}_2$, the problem becomes a free particle in an infinite square well of unit width described by an initial Hamiltonian of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U \equiv \hat{\mathcal{H}}(0) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}^2, \tag{85}$$

which is a specific case of Eq.(68) for $\Omega_0 = 0$, and its eigenstates are well known

$$\varphi_n(X,t) = \sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi X)\, e^{-i(\pi^2 n^2 t/2)}.$$

Since the wave function of Eq.(83) is bad at $\rho = 0$ under the condition of $K_3 = 1$ and $\theta_- = 0$, we can use the invariant parameters Ks instead to solve this problem. By using Lie transformation of $\hat{U}_2$, we can construct an invariant Hamiltonian by an inverse Lie transformation of $\hat{U}_2$ on Eq.(85) as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) = \hat{U}_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}(0) \hat{U}_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{\theta_0}\hat{P} + \theta_+ e^{\theta_0}\hat{X}\right)^2, \tag{86}$$

where we have set $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0$ for the no-driving case. The condition of Hamiltonian Eq.(86) to be an invariant for the Hamiltonian Eq.(85) can give the transformation parameter of θ_+ by

$$\theta_+ = -\frac{\dot{L}}{L}, \quad \ddot{L} = 0.$$

Alternatively, θ_+ can also be determined by using the invariant operator derived from Eq.(57) for the free-particle Hamiltonian of Eq.(85) as

$$\hat{I}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho\hat{P} - \frac{\dot{\rho}}{a}\hat{X}\right)^2,$$

where $a = 1, b = 0, c = 0$ and $\Omega_0 = 0$ in this case. Compared the above invariant operator with Eq.(86), we have the same result as

$$\rho = L(t), \quad \theta_+ = -e^{-\theta_0}\frac{\dot{\rho}}{a} = -\frac{\dot{L}}{L}.$$

Therefore, the final wave function will be

$$\Psi(X,t) = \hat{U}_2(t)\,\varphi_n(X,t) = e^{i\frac{\dot{L}}{2L}X^2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{L(t)}}\sin\left[\frac{n\pi X}{L(t)}\right] e^{-in^2\pi^2 t/2},$$

where the width of the well should have a constant expanding speed with a constraint of $\ddot{L} = 0$. Fig.12(a) and (b) display the probability distributions of a free particle in an infinite well for a linear expansion of the well width, that is $L(t) = L_0 + vt$, where v is the expanding speed. The time evolution of the wave functions starting from differen initial states shown in Fig.12(a)(b) reveals that the free particle in an infinite well with a constant expanding width only exhibits adiabatic dynamics no matter how fast the expanding speed is. This result is due to the fact that the invariant Hamiltonian of Eq.(86) for a free particle in an infinite well maintains a constant "energy" during the well expanding. The restrict condition of $\ddot{L} = 0$ on the above solution excludes an acceleration of boundary but it can be



FIG. 12: The evolution of the probability densities of a free particle in an infinite well with expanding boundaries starting from (a) the ground state φ_1 and (b) the superposition state of $(\varphi_1+\varphi_2)/\sqrt{2}$ with the parameters $L_0 = 1, v = 0.1$. The corresponding cases for the driven free particle in the infinite well with oscillating boundary are shown by (c) and (d), respectively. The parameters are $L_0 = 1, \lambda = 0.1, \nu = 3$ and $f_0 = 0.02$.

easily generalized to this case with this method. For a driven free particle in an infinite well with varying boundary $L(t)$ beyond $\ddot{L} = 0$, we can construct a general invariant Hamiltonian from Eq.(68) with $\Omega_0 \neq 0$ as

$$\hat{I}(t) = \hat{U}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U\hat{U}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[e^{\theta_0}\left(\hat{P}+\beta\right) + \theta_+ e^{\theta_0}\left(\hat{X}-\alpha\right)\right]^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0^2 e^{-2\theta_0}\left(\hat{X}-\alpha\right)^2, \tag{87}$$

where the parameters α and β are for the classical dynamics induced by the driving force. In this case the transformation parameters can be determined by

$$\theta_+ = -\frac{\dot{L}}{L}, \quad \Omega_0 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{\ddot{L}}{L}}\frac{L^2}{\sqrt{1-L^4}},$$

under the conditions of $\theta_0 = \ln L$ and $\theta_- = 0$. As $\Omega_0 \neq 0$ in this case, a further harmonic potential is presented here to validate the invariant operator of Eq.(87) with the driving force. Therefore the quadratic Hamiltonian used to solve the problem of a driven particle in an infinite square well with an accelerated expansion is

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(0) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{P}-P_c\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\ddot{L}}{L}\frac{L^4}{1-L^4}\left(\hat{X}-X_c\right)^2,$$

where the classical position of the driven particle follows the Newton equation of $\ddot{X}_c = f(t)$ with $f(t)$ being the driving force. We find that the auxiliary harmonic potential is undefined for $L = 1$ due to the initial scaled width of the well being $L(0) = 1$, and it is repulsive for $L < 1$ but attractive for $L > 1$ if $\ddot{L} > 0$. Therefore, the wave function starting from the initial state of $\varphi_n(X, 0) = \sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi X)$ can be determined by the Lie transformation as

$$\Psi(X,t) = e^{i\int_0^t X_c(t')f(t')dt'}e^{-in^2\pi^2 t/2}e^{i\frac{\dot{L}}{2L}(X-X_c)^2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{L(t)}}\sin\left[\frac{n\pi}{L(t)}(X-X_c)\right].$$

Fig.12(c) and (d) demonstrate the evolutions of the probability distributions of a free particle located in an infinite well with an oscillating boundary, $L(t) = L_0 + \lambda\sin(\nu t)$, and under a constant driving force of f_0. We can see a clear probability modulation of the wave packet by the classical motion of the particle (red dashed lines) and the varying boundary of the well (thick black lines). In this case, the oscillating boundary can stimulate quantum transitions between different quantum states which should enable emissions or absorptions of the well. Under the frame work of Lie transformation method, the other types of driving and width modulation for this problem can also be similarly solved.

4. The position-momentum (posmom) model

If we set $K_1(t) = K_2(t) = 0$ and $K_3(t) = K(t)$, then we reach a transformed Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \frac{1}{2}K(t)\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right), \tag{88}$$



FIG. 13: (a) The temporal evolution of parameters θs. (b) The real part (solid line) and imaginary part (dashed line) of the wave function of Eq.(91) for the posmom operator with $\xi = 6$. (c) The evolution of the probability density of the driven and damped oscillator starting from eigenstate of posmom operator for $\xi = 0.5$ (the red dashed line is the classical orbit). (d) A distribution profile of the wave function at time $t = 0.2$. The other parameters are $X_0 = 1, \gamma = 0.1, \Omega = 2$ and $f_0 = 1$.

and the parametric equations leading to Eq.(88) are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}_+ &= a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ + b, \\ \dot{\theta}_- &= ae^{-2\theta_0} + 2K(t)\,\theta_-, \\ \dot{\theta}_0 &= c - a\theta_+ - K(t). \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

The parameters θs following Eq.(89) can turn the original Hamiltonian into a famous $\hat{X}\hat{P}$ Hamiltonian, which has been intensively studied due to a close relation with the Riemann hypothesis [44–46]. Now we should solve the wave function of Hamiltonian Eq.(88) by

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(X,t) = \frac{1}{2}K(t)\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right)\varphi(X,t).$$

By using variable separation method, the wave function will be

$$\varphi(X,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}e^{-i\xi\int_0^t K(\tau)d\tau}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{X}{X_0}}}e^{i\xi\ln\left(\frac{X}{X_0}\right)}, \tag{90}$$

where $X_0 = X(0)$ and the solution is defined on $X/X_0 > 0$. Surely, a solution for $X/X_0 < 0$ can also be constructed [45, 47]. The parameter ξ is the eigenvalue determined by the eigenequation of posmom operator [47]

$$\frac{1}{2}(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X})g(X) = \xi g(X).$$

The eigenfunction of $g_\xi(X)$ is [47]

$$g_\xi(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}}\frac{e^{i\xi\ln|X|}}{\sqrt{|X|}}, \tag{91}$$

which also forms a complete continuous basis to expand any initial state. If we consider a simple case for $X > 0, X_0 > 0$, the wave function will be

$$\Psi(X,t) = A\sqrt{X_0}e^{-i\xi\ln X_0}e^{-i\xi\int_0^t K(\tau)d\tau}e^{-is}e^{-i\alpha\hat{P}}e^{-i\beta X}e^{-i\theta_+X^2/2}e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}e^{i\xi\ln X}.$$

Fig.13 demonstrates the solutions of a DDPO with $a(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}$, $b(t) = e^{2\gamma t}, c(t) = 0$ and $K = 1$ starting from the eigenstate of Eq.(91). The driving force $f_c(t)$ is defined as that in Eq.(60). Surely, we can further simplify the transformation by setting $\theta_- = 0$ and θ_+, θ_0 can also be solved by the differential equation of $\dot{\theta}_+ = a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ + b$. The solution in this case is omitted here.

D. A general solution solved by invariant parameter Ks

Generally, we can safely solve DDPO of Hamiltonian Eq.(13) by using any invariant parameters of Ks. In this case, the evolution of a wave packet starting from a specific initial state can be parametrically controlled by the dynamical equations of Eq.(21),

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\alpha} &= c\alpha - a\beta + d,\\ \dot{\beta} &= b\alpha - c\beta + e,\\ \dot{s} &= \frac{1}{2}b\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2}a\beta^2 + e\alpha + f,\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

and by Eq.(50) combined with Eq.(54) or Eq.(55) as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta}_+ &= a\theta_+^2 - 2c\theta_+ - K_2 e^{-2\theta_0} + b,\\ \dot{\theta}_- &= ae^{-2\theta_0} - K_2\theta_-^2 + 2K_3\theta_- - K_1,\\ \dot{\theta}_0 &= K_2\theta_- - a\theta_+ + c - K_3,\\ \dot{K}_1 &= 2cK_1 - 2aK_3,\\ \dot{K}_2 &= 2bK_3 - 2cK_2,\\ \dot{K}_3 &= bK_1 - aK_2.\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Therefore, the wave function determined by the above dynamic parameters can be written as

$$\Psi(X,t) = e^{-is(t)}e^{-i\alpha(t)\hat{P}}e^{-i\beta(t)\hat{X}}e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2}e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}\psi_0(X,t),$$

where the initial state $\psi_0(X,t)$ can be expanded by any basis of Eq.(19). As discussed in previous sections, the classical dynamics of Eq.(92) only causes the overall shifts and Eq.(93) will lead to the deformations of an initial wave packet through the operations of translation, rotation and squeezing or dilation in the real space.



FIG. 14: The evolutions of the wave packets of the driven parametric oscillator starting from initial states of (a)(e)$|0\rangle$, (b)(f) $|1\rangle$, (c)(g) $|2\rangle$ and (d)(h)$(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The driving amplitude is $f_0 = 0.2$ and the driving frequency is $\Omega = 0.8$. The other parameters are the same as that in Fig.11.

As an example, in Fig.14, we demonstrate the time evolution of the wave packets for a DDPO of Eq.(13) with $a(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}$, $b(t) = e^{2\gamma t}$, $c(t) = 0$ and $e(t) = -e^{2\gamma t} f_c(t)$ in the upper frames of Fig.14(a)-(d). This example is still based on the model of Eq.(58) and the shortcoming of this model is that the damping will induce an increasing effective mass which will bring nonphysical wave functions. The evolutions of the wave function for a DDPO with varying mass of $a(t) = 1/M(t)$, the time-dependent frequency of $b(t) = M(t)\Omega_0^2(t)$, $c(t) = 0$ and $e(t) = f_c(t)$ are shown in the lower frames of Fig.14(e)-(h). This model adopts the same controlling parametric functions of $M(t)$ and $\Omega_0(t)$ as that defined in Eq.(76). The time evolutions of the wave packets starting from different number states shown in Fig.14 clearly exhibit the geometric influences of the parameters on the wave packets through the operations of translation, rotation and squeezing or dilation. The classical resonance of the driving force for a damped parametric oscillator with $f_c = f_0 \cos(\Omega t + \phi)$ ($\Omega \sim 1$) is only governed by Eq.(92) (which decouples from Eq.(93)) and it doesn't directly induce quantum transitions between different quantum states as normally expected. Since Eq.(92) and Eq.(93) are two systems of nonlinear dynamical equations, their solutions will be very sensitive to the initial states in a chaotic parametric region. Therefore, the affiliated parametric equations of Eq.(92) and Eq.(93) can be used to define the quantum chaos for a quantum system when its parametric equations are chaotic. Generally, the initial forms of the parameters α, β, s, θs and Ks can be randomly chosen, but for a practical control problem, they often depend on the initial parameters of the Hamiltonian, i.e., $a(0), b(0), c(0), d(0), e(0)$ and $f(0)$, or they can be properly designed through the initial states and the target states in a controlled system.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

As the time-dependent system has attracted considerable interest due to its potential applications in many fields for the quantum control problems [25], we intensively investigate the model of DDPO described by a general time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonian in a unified algebraic framework of Lie transformation. In order to facilitate a comparison with the quantum dynamics, we first give a brief review on the classical behaviors of DDHO to lay down a basic picture for the classical dynamics. Then a time-dependent Lie transformation, Floquet U-transformation (FUT), is introduced to provide a simple but consistent approach to solve the time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonian system beyond the time-dependent perturbation theory and the quantum adiabatic theory.

Based on a closed Lie algebra of the Hamiltonian, our study shows that the state evolution of a time-dependent system of Eq.(11) can be mapped into a 6-dimensional parametric space of $h(4) \bigoplus su(2)$ and naturally be decomposed into a classical part and a quantum part governed by their independent parametric equations of Eq.(21) and Eq.(27), respectively. This method reveals a close connection between the classical and quantum dynamics of a wave-packet in a driven and damped system. We demonstrate that the transformation parameters of Lie operators can selectively control the evolution of a wave function in a separated parametric spaces, $h(4)$ and $su(2)$, through the dynamical operations of translation, rotation and squeezing on the wave packet. The Lie transformation method can easily discriminate the classical resonance from a quantum one to reveal that the classical resonance is governed by the dynamical equations of Eq.(21) or Eq.(25) which only introduces an overall translation of the wave packet, while the quantum resonance induces quantum transitions between different internal states which dramatically modify the shape of the wave packet.

Although the Lie transformation method can exactly solve the general time-dependent quadratic Hamiltonian, it can not always give an optimal solution to a dissipative system. For the sake of eliminating the pathological properties of the solutions obtained by this method, we combine the time-dependent Lie transformation with the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant method by introducing new dynamic parameters of Ks and this technique enables us to freely transform the Hamiltonian belonging to certain algebra into another. In order to convert the transformed Hamiltonian Eq.(51) into an invariant of the system, a dynamical equation of Eq.(54) is derived to determine Ks. Anyway, as the invariant of the system is not unique, the parametric functions of Ks can be properly chosen for different physical problems, or Ks can be consistently constructed to design different parametric controls on a quantum system to get specific target states. In order to illustrate the applications of this approach, we proceed with some typical examples to select proper combinations of Ks in order to find optimal solutions to different systems. Our study not only recovers the main solutions of these typical models, but also presents new features on the state dynamics through constructing invariant Hamiltonians (a reverse Lie transformation) for these systems. Surely, this Lie transformation method takes advantage of studying the quantum control problems beyond the adiabatic theory (such as in the non-adiabatic shortcut control [4]) and can be easily generalized to other time-dependent Hamiltonians beyond a quadratic form if proper algebraic realizations of the system can be found.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No.11447025) and the Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry.

Appendix A: The FUT transformations for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U$

The following similarity transformations are used to derive Eq.(26):

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2}\hat{P}e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2} = \hat{P} - \theta_+\hat{X},\\
&e^{i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}\hat{X}e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2} = \hat{X} + \theta_-\hat{P},\\
&e^{i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}\hat{P}e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2} = \hat{P}e^{-\theta_0},\\
&e^{i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}\hat{X}e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2} = \hat{X}e^{\theta_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to give a general derivation of Eq.(26), we calculate the FUT transformation of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_U = \hat{U}^{-1}(t)\,\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)\hat{U}(t) - i\hat{U}^{-1}(t)\,\frac{\partial\hat{U}(t)}{\partial t}$$

for $e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2}$, $e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}$ and $e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}$, respectively, on the scaled standard quadratic Hamiltonian of

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2}a\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}b\hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}c\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right). \tag{A1}$$

(1) The first transformation is for

$$\hat{U}_+ = e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2}, \tag{A2}$$

and the FUT on Hamiltonian Eq.(A1) gives

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U_+} = \frac{1}{2}a\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(a\theta_+^2 - \dot{\theta}_+ - 2c\theta_+ + b\right)\hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(c - a\theta_+\right)\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right). \tag{A3}$$

(2) The second is for

$$\hat{U}_0 = e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}, \tag{A4}$$

and the FUT on Hamiltonian Eq.(A1) results in

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U_0} = \frac{1}{2}ae^{-2\theta_0}\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}be^{2\theta_0}\hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(c - \dot{\theta}_0\right)\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right). \tag{A5}$$

(3) The last one is

$$\hat{U}_- = e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}, \tag{A6}$$

and FUT on the Hamiltonian Eq.(A1) leads to

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{U_-} = \frac{1}{2}\left(a + 2c\theta_- + b\theta_-^2 - \dot{\theta}_-\right)\hat{P}^2 + \frac{1}{2}b\hat{X}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(b\theta_- + c\right)\left(\hat{X}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{X}\right). \tag{A7}$$

Then the combination of the above transformations can give any results of successive FUTs on Hamiltonian Eq.(A1). For the successive transformations of

$$\hat{U} = \hat{U}_+\hat{U}_0\hat{U}_- = e^{-i\theta_+\hat{X}^2/2}e^{-i\theta_0\left(\hat{X}\hat{P}+\hat{P}\hat{X}\right)/2}e^{-i\theta_-\hat{P}^2/2}, \tag{A8}$$

we can easily combine the above three FUTs to obtain Eq.(26).

[1] R. Fitzpatrick, *Oscillations and Waves: An Introduction*, 1st edition, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, 2013.

[2] J. J. Thomsen, *Vibrations and stability: Advanced Theory, Analysis, and Tools*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[3] M. Aspelmeyer, T.J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, *Cavity optomechanics*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86 (2014) 1391.
[4] E. Torrontegui, et. al, *Shortcuts to adiabaticity*, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 62 (2013) 117.
[5] D.J. Tannor, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics: A Time-Dependent Perspective*, University Science Books, 2006.
[6] C. Um, K. Yeon, and T.F. George, *The quantum damped harmonic oscillator*, Phys. Rep. 362 (2002) 63.
[7] C. Um, K. Yeon, and T.F. George, *Quantum damped oscillator I: Dissipation and resonances*, Ann. Phys. 321 (2006) 854.
[8] E. Kanai, *On the quantization of dissipative systems*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 3 (1948) 440.
[9] Z.E. Musielak, *General conditions for the existence of non-standard Lagrangians for dissipative dynamical systems*, Chaos, Soli. Frac. 42 (2009) 2645.
[10] H. Bateman, *On Dissipative Systems and Related Variational Principles*, Phys. Rev. 38 (1931) 815.
[11] G.F.T.del Castillo, *The Hamiltonian description of a second-order ODE*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 265202.
[12] T.J. Philbin,*Quantum dynamics of the damped harmonic oscillator*, New J. Phys. 14 (2012) 083043.
[13] Eva-Maria Graefe, M. Honing, and H.J. Korsch, *Classical limit of non-Hermitian quantum dynamics-a generalized canonical structure*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) 075306.
[14] C.M. Bender, *Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians*, Rep. Prog. Phys. 70 (2007) 947.
[15] C.M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having PT Symmetry*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 5234.
[16] J.R.Choi and I. H. Nahm, *SU(1,1) Lie Algebra Applied to the General Time-dependent Quadratic Hamiltonian System*, Inter. J. Theor. Phys. 46 (2007) 1.
[17] J.R. Choi, *Coherent state of general time-dependent harmonic oscillator*, Pramana J. Phys. 62 (2004) 13.
[18] K.H. Yeon, C.In Um, and T.F. George, *Time-dependent general quantum quadratic Hamiltonian system*, Phys. Rev. A 68 (2003) 052108.
[19] M.A. Lohe, *Exact time dependence of solutions to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 035307.
[20] M. Moshinsky and C. Quesne, *Linear Canonical Transformations and Their Unitary Representations*, J. Math. Phys. 12 (1971) 1772.
[21] C.M. Cheng and P.C.W. Fung, *The $SU(2) \bigoplus h(4)$ Hamiltonian*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 (1990) 75.
[22] Yujun Zheng, *Comment on "Time-dependent general quantum quadratic Hamiltonian system"*, Phys. Rev. A 74 (2006) 066101.
[23] K.H. Yeon, K.K. Lee, C.I. Um, T.F. George, L.N. Pandey, *Exact quantum theory of a time-dependent bound quadratic Hamiltonian system*, Phys. Rev. A 48 (1993) 2716.
[24] J. Wei and E. Norman. *Lie algebraic solution of linear differential equations*, J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963) 575; J. Wei and E. Norman, *On global representations of the solutions of linear differential equations as a product of exponentials*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 15 (1964) 327.
[25] V.G. Ibarra-Sierra, J.C. Sandoval-Santana, J.L. Cardoso, A. Kunold, *Lie algebraic approach to the time-dependent quantum general harmonic oscillator and the bi-dimensional charged particle in time-dependent electromagnetic fields*, Ann. Phys. 362 (2015) 83.
[26] S.H. Dong, *The SU(2) realization for the Morse potential and its coherent states*, Can. J. Phys. 80 (2002) 129.
[27] D.R. Truax, *Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff relations and unitarity of $SU(2)$ and $SU(1,1)$ squeeze operators*, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 1988.
[28] A.L. de Lima, A. Rosas, I.A. Pedrosa, *On the quantum motion of a generalized time-dependent forced harmonic oscillator*, Ann. Phys. 323 (2008) 2253.
[29] H.P. Breuer and M. Holthaus, *Adiabatic processes in the ionization of highly excited hydrogen atoms*, Z. Phys. D - Atoms, Molecules and Clusters 11 (1989) 1.
[30] D.J. Griffth, *Introduction to quantum mechanics*, 2nd edition, Pearson Education, Inc., 2005.
[31] Howe Roger, *On the role of the Heisenberg group in harmonic analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1980) 821.
[32] H. Goldstein, C. Poole, J. Safko, *Classcical Mechanics*, 3rd Edition, Pearson Education Asia Limited Inc., Addison-Wesley, 2002.
[33] Y. Nogami, *Test of the adiabatic approximation in quantum mechanics: Forced harmonic oscillator*, Am. J. Phys. 59 (1991) 64.
[34] K. Husimi, Progr. Theor. Phys. 9 (1953) 381; T. Dittrich, P. Hänggi, G.-L. Ingold, B. Kramer, G. Schön and W. Zwerger, *Quantum Transport and Dissipation*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998.
[35] F. A. M. de Oliveira, M. S. Kim, P. L. Knight, and V. Buzek, *Properties of displaced number states*, Phys. Rev. A 41 (1990) 2645.
[36] T. G. Philbin, *Generalized coherent states*, Am. J. Phys. 82 (2014) 742.
[37] Hans Cruz, Dieter Schuch, Octavio Castaños, and Oscar Rosas-Ortiz, *Time-evolution of quantum systems via a complex nonlinear Riccati equation. I. Conservative systems with time-independent Hamiltonian*, Ann. Phys. 360 (2015) 44.
[38] P. G. L. Leach, *Quadratic Hamiltonians, quadratic invariants and the symmetry group SU(n)*, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978) 446.
[39] H. Ralph Lewis and P. G. L. Leach, *Exact invariants for a class of time-dependent nonlinear Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Phys. 23 (1982) 165.
[40] I. A. Pedrosa, *Exact wave functions of a harmonic oscillator with time-dependent mass and frequency*, Phys. Rev. A 55 (1997) 3219.
[41] J.B. Xu and X.C. Gao, *Squeezed States and Squeezed-Coherent States of the General ized Time-Dependent Harmonic*

Oscillator, Phys. Scripta 54 (1996) 137.
[42] X.C. Gao, J.B. Xu and T. Z. Qian, *Geometric phase and the generalized invariant formulation*, Phys. Rev. A 44 (1991) 7016.
[43] J.M. Cerveró, and J.D. Lejarreta, *The time-dependent canonical formalism: Generalized harmonic oscillator and the infinite-square well with a moving boundary*, Europhys. Lett. 45 (1999) 6.
[44] M.V. Berry, and J.P. Keating, $H = xp$ *and the Riemann zeros*, SIAM Rev. 41 (1999) 236.
[45] M. Srednicki, *The Berry-Keating Hamiltonian and the local Riemann hypothesis*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 305202.
[46] G. Sierra and J. Rodríguez-Laguna, $H = xp$ *Model Revisited and the Riemann Zeros*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 200201.
[47] Y. A Bernard and P. M W Gill, *The distribution of* $r \cdot p$ *in quantum mechanical*, New J. Phys. 11 (2009) 083015.